## PRELIMINARY COMMUNICATION

## Reformatsky-type reactions of *a*-functionally substituted organotin compounds

The chemical reactivity of the Sn-Č bond in compounds  $R_3SnCH_2X$  (X, functional group with -M effect such as CN or COOEt) and  $R_3SnCH_2CH_2X$ (defined as  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -functionally substituted, respectively) differs considerably<sup>1</sup> with respect to both nucleophilic reactions such as alkaline hydrolysis<sup>2</sup> or attack by lithium aluminium hydride<sup>3</sup> or Grignard reagents<sup>3</sup> and electrophilic reactions such as cleavage by halogens<sup>4</sup>. Ionic cleavage of the Sn-C bond in compounds  $R_3SnCH_2X$ is facilitated by the ability of the group X to stabilize negative charge on the adjacent carbon atom (cf. ref. 2), e.g.:

 $\bar{C}H_2 - C \equiv N \leftrightarrow CH_2 = C = \bar{N}$ 

A similar resonance stabilization of an incipient carbanion is not possible for compounds  $R_3SnCH_2CH_2X$  and, therefore, attack is on X rather than on  $Sn-C^{2.3}$ .

The extreme susceptibility towards electrophilic attack (e.g. by halogen<sup>4</sup>) of compounds  $R_3SnCH_2X$  suggested to us that interaction with organic substrates containing strongly electrophilic carbon might enable their synthetic application as carbon-functional chain extension reagents. One isolated example of such a reaction has been reported in the literature, *i.e.* the addition of triethylstannylacetone to benzaldehyde<sup>5</sup>.

Quite recently the generation of carbon–carbon bonds via organotin compounds has received attention. Both triethyl(phenylethynyl)tin<sup>6</sup> and triethylallyltin<sup>7</sup> have been added to a few aldehydes and ketones.  $\alpha$ -Functionally substituted organotin compounds undergo condensation with both allyl and benzyl bromide<sup>8</sup>. All these reactions have in common that the group transferred from tin to carbon may give rise to a resonance-stabilized carbanion.

In the present communication we wish to report that  $\alpha$ -functionally substituted organotin nitriles, ketones, esters and amides readily add across the carbonyl group of a variety of aldehydes and ketones with quantitative formation of the corresponding  $\beta$ -triorganostannoxy-substituted carbon-functional derivatives:

$$\begin{array}{c} \overset{\delta^{-}}{\underset{M_{3} \text{Sn} \rightarrow \text{CH}_{2} \rightarrow \text{X} + \text{R}' \rightarrow \text{C}}{\overset{\delta^{+}}{\underset{M_{3} \text{Sn} \rightarrow \text{C} \rightarrow \text{H}}} \xrightarrow{\text{R}'}{\underset{M_{3} \text{Sn} \rightarrow \text{C} \rightarrow \text{C} - \text{C} - \text{C} - \text{C} + \frac{1}{\underset{M_{3} \text{Sn} \rightarrow \text{C} \rightarrow \text{C} - \text{C} + \frac{1}{\underset{M_{3} \text{Sn} \rightarrow \text{C} \rightarrow \text{C} + \frac{1}{\underset{M_{3} \text{Sn} \rightarrow \text{C} \rightarrow \text{C} + \frac{1}{\underset{M_{3} \text{Sn} \rightarrow \text{C} \rightarrow \text{C} + \frac{1}{\underset{M_{3} \text{Sn} \rightarrow \text{C} - \text{C} + \frac{1}{\underset{M_{3} \text{Sn} \rightarrow \text{C} \rightarrow \text{C} + \frac{1}{\underset{M_{3} \text{Sn} \rightarrow \text{C} + \frac{1}{\underset{M_{3} \text{Sn} \rightarrow \text{C} \rightarrow \text{C} + \frac{1}{\underset{M_{3} \text{Sn} \rightarrow \text{C} - \frac{1}{\underset{M_{3} \text{Sn} \rightarrow \text{C} + \frac{1}{\underset{M_{3} \xrightarrow{M_{3} \rightarrow \text{Sn} \rightarrow \text{C} + \frac{1}{\underset{M_{3} \xrightarrow{M_{3} \xrightarrow{M_{3}$$

Representative examples of such reactions have been listed in Table 1.

Since the Sn–O bond of the adducts obtained is easily hydrolyzed under acidic conditions an attractive new route to  $\beta$ -hydroxynitriles, -ketones, -esters and -amides has become available, *e.g.*:

TABLE	1

Reaction <sup>a</sup>		Product <sup>b</sup>	Conditions for complete reaction	
I	$Bu_3SnCH_2CN + C_6F_5CHO$	Bu <sub>3</sub> SnOCH(C <sub>6</sub> F <sub>5</sub> )CH <sub>2</sub> CN B.p., 146–156°/0.1 mm; n <sub>D</sub> <sup>20</sup> , 1.4813	16 h, room temp.	
11	$Bu_3SnCH_2CN + CCl_3CHO$	Bu <sub>3</sub> SnOCH(CCl <sub>3</sub> )CH <sub>2</sub> CN B.p., 130–133°/0.08 mm; n <sub>D</sub> <sup>20</sup> , 1.4995	16 h, room temp.	
III	$Et_3SnCH_2COMe + C_6F_5CHO$	$Et_3SnOCH(C_6F_5)CH_2COMe$	Exothermal at room temp	
IV	$Et_3SnCH_2COMe + C_6H_5CHO^c$	Et <sub>3</sub> SnOCH(C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> )CH <sub>2</sub> COMe	Exothermal at room temp	
v	Et <sub>3</sub> SnCH <sub>2</sub> COMe+furfural	Et <sub>3</sub> SnOCH(C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>3</sub> O)CH <sub>2</sub> COMe	Exothermal at room temp	
VI	Et <sub>3</sub> SnCH <sub>2</sub> COMe+n-PrCHO	Et <sub>3</sub> SnOCH(n-Pr)CH <sub>2</sub> COMe	Exothermal at room temp	
VII	$Et_3SnCH_2COMe + C_6H_5COCF_3$	$Et_3SnOC(C_6H_5)(CF_3)CH_2COMe$	Exothermal at room temp	
VIII	Et <sub>3</sub> SnCH <sub>2</sub> COMe+ClCH <sub>2</sub> COCH <sub>2</sub> Cl	Et <sub>3</sub> SnOC(CH <sub>2</sub> Cl) <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> COMe	Exothermal at room temp	
IX	$Et_3SnCH_2COMe + cyclohexanone$	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>10</sub> (Et <sub>3</sub> SnO)(MeCOCH <sub>2</sub> )gem	48 h, room temp.	
х	$Et_3SnCH_2COOEt + C_6F_5CHO$	Et <sub>3</sub> SnOCH(C <sub>6</sub> F <sub>5</sub> )CH <sub>2</sub> COOEt B.p., 107–108°/0.07 mm; $n_{5}^{20}$ , 1.4751	16 h, room temp.	
XI	Et <sub>3</sub> SnCH <sub>2</sub> COOEt + C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> CHO	Et <sub>3</sub> SnOCH(C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>3</sub> )CH <sub>2</sub> COOEt	$8 \text{ h/50}^\circ$ , ZnCl <sub>2</sub> cat.	
XII	Et <sub>3</sub> SnCH <sub>2</sub> COOEt+CCl <sub>3</sub> CHO	Et <sub>3</sub> SnOCH(CCl <sub>3</sub> )CH <sub>2</sub> COOEt B.p., 108–114°/0.25 mm; $n_D^{20}$ , 1.4973	Exothermal at room temp	
XIII	Et <sub>3</sub> SnCH <sub>2</sub> COOEt+C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> COCF <sub>3</sub>	Et <sub>3</sub> SnOC(C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> )(CF <sub>3</sub> )CH <sub>2</sub> COOEt	5 h/60°, $ZnCl_2$ cat.	
XIV	$Et_3SnCH_2CONEt_2 + C_6F_5CHO$	Et <sub>3</sub> SnOCH(C <sub>6</sub> F <sub>3</sub> )CH <sub>2</sub> CONEt <sub>2</sub>	Exothermal at room temp	
xv	$Et_3SnCH_2CONEt_2 + furfural$	Et <sub>3</sub> SnOCH(C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>3</sub> O)CH <sub>2</sub> CONEt <sub>2</sub>	4 h/60°	
XVI	Et <sub>3</sub> SnCH <sub>2</sub> CONEt <sub>2</sub> +CCl <sub>3</sub> CHO	Et <sub>3</sub> SnOCH(CCl <sub>3</sub> )CH <sub>2</sub> CONEt <sub>2</sub>	Exothermal at room temp	

<sup>a</sup> Reactions were carried out by mixing equimolar amounts of the reactants under  $N_2$  in the absence of a solvent. <sup>b</sup> All compounds have been characterized by NMR spectroscopy. <sup>c</sup> Cf. ref. 5; the reaction proceeds equally well with o- and p-chloro-, m- and p-nitrobenzaldehyde.

 $\begin{array}{ccc} O & C_6F_5 & OH \\ & & & \\ II & & & \\ Bu_3SnCH_2CN + C_6F_5CH \rightarrow Bu_3SnOCHCH_2CN \xrightarrow{HCI} Bu_3SnCl + C_6F_5CHCH_2CN \\ & & & \\ E_{12}O & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ E_{12}O & & \\$ 

As appears from Table 1 adduct-formation runs to completion under particularly mild conditions if an electron-withdrawing group is attached to the carbonyl group. If necessary the reaction can be catalyzed by Lewis acids (reactions XI and XIII, Table 1; *cf.* ref. 7) suggesting once more that electrophilic attack of the carbonyl carbon on the Sn-C bond is the rate-determining step in these reactions (*cf.* ref. 9).

The 1:1 adduct XII in the presence of excess of chloral affords a 1:2 adduct (cf. comparable reactions with trialkyltin hydrides<sup>9</sup> and alkoxides<sup>10</sup>):

$$\begin{array}{c} O\\ \parallel\\ Et_3SnOCH(CCl_3)CH_2COOEt + CCl_3CH \rightarrow\\ Et_3SnOCH(CCl_3)OCH(CCl_3)CH_2COOEt\end{array}$$

Although IR spectroscopy is useful, the progress of the additions with aldehydes is more conveniently followed by observing the very characteristic changes in the PMR spectrum. Due to the presence of a centre of asymmetry the spectra of the aldehyde adducts reveal an ABX pattern with eight lines at high field and four lines at low field.

J. Organometal. Chem., 11 (1968) P21-P23

The products listed in Table 1 are high-boiling liquids which cannot be distilled without some decomposition taking place. Since as appears from the PMR spectra, the products formed are better than 98 % pure no further attempts at purification were made.

In order to avoid olefin-forming elimination malonic acid<sup>7</sup> or oxalic acid is preferred over ethereal hydrochloric acid<sup>6</sup> for converting the adducts into the corresponding  $\beta$ -hydroxy derivatives, *e.g.*:

$$O_{\parallel}$$

$$2 \text{ Et}_{3}\text{SnOC}(\text{CH}_{2}\text{Cl})_{2}\text{CH}_{2}^{2}\text{CCH}_{3} + (\text{COOH})_{2} \rightarrow OH O_{\parallel}$$

$$(\text{COOSnEt}_{3})_{2} + (\text{ClCH}_{2})_{2}^{2}\text{CCH}_{2}^{2}\text{CCH}_{3}$$

$$b.p., 61-62^{\circ}/0.05 \text{ mm}; n_{D}^{20}, 1.4829$$

The direct introduction of carbon-functional groups has remained an important problem in organic synthesis (functionally substituted halides are not amenable to Grignard-formation; the Reformatsky-reaction which is often attended by side reactions is largely limited to introduction of the ester function). Therefore, we wish to draw attention to this particularly facile organometallic method of carbonfunctional chain extension, the scope of which is the subject of further investigation at our Institute.

Details of this research will be published in the full paper.

## Acknowledgement

Financial support by the International Tin Research Council (Dr. E. S. HEDGES), London, is gratefully acknowledged. Thanks are due to Mr. F. VERBEEK for skilful experimental assistance. The authors are indebted to Dr. W. DRENTH, Dr. A. J. LEUSINK and Mr. J. W. MARSMAN for discussions.

Institute for Organic Chemistry TNO	J. G. NOLTES
Utrecht (The Netherlands)	H. M. J. C. CREEMERS
n na hann an ann an an an ann an ann ann	G. J. M. VAN DER KERK

- 1 J. G. NOLTES AND G. J. M. VAN DER KERK, Functionally Substituted Organotin Compounds, Tin Research Institute, Greenford, 1958.
- 2 G. J. M. VAN DER KERK AND J. G. NOLTES, J. Appl. Chem., 9 (1959) 113.
- 3 G. J. M. VAN DER KERK AND J. G. NOLTES, J. Appl. Chem., 9 (1959) 176.
- 4 G. J. M. VAN DER KERK AND J. G. NOLTES, J. Appl. Chem., 9 (1959) 179.
- 5 S. V. PONOMAREV AND I. F. LUTSENKO, Zh. Obshch. Khim., 34 (1964) 3450.
- 6 R. G. MIRSHOV AND V. M. VLASOV, Zh. Obshch. Khim., 36 (1966) 562.
- 7 K. KÖNIG AND W. P. NEUMANN, Tetrahedron Letters (1967) 495.
- 8 M. PEREYRE, G. COLIN AND J. VALADE, C. R. Acad. Sci, Paris, Ser. C, 264 (1967) 1204.
- 9 A. J. LEUSINK, Ph.D. Thesis, Utrecht, 1966.
- 10 A. G. DAVIES AND W. R. SYMES, J. Chem. Soc. (C), (1967) 1009.

(Received October 24th, 1967)

J. Organometal. Chem., 11 (1968) P21-P23